

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,011	11/21/2003	Ivonne U. Irizarry	JAM837	8743
7590 04/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Sean A. Kaufhold P.O. Box 131447			LEUNG, PHILIP H	
Carlsbad, CA 92013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- √ - a		1/
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,011	IRIZARRY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Philip H Leung	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thinteriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a 	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been	pplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 146)
) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/2003</u>. 	3/08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The drawings filed on 11/21/2003 are acceptable.
- 2. In claims 1 and 17, it is suggested to rewrite the term "microwave" at line 2 as "microwave oven".
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Drabick (US 5,509,601) (cited by the applicant).

The claimed structure does not define over Drabick as it shows a food cover assembly for positioning over food comprising a stand (10) including panel (15) having a top side and a bottom side, a plurality of legs (11-14) being attached to and extending downwardly from said bottom side, each of said legs being positioned generally adjacent to a peripheral edge of the panel; a covering (16) including a top wall and a peripheral wall (the side edges of the top 16 which cover the corresponding side edges 17a, 17b and 17c of the compartment of box 17) being attached to and extending downwardly therefrom, said top wall having generally the same shape as said panel, said top wall having a shortest diameter having a length greater than a shortest diameter of said panel; and wherein said stand may be positioned over food (18) and said

Application/Control Number: 10/718,011

Art Unit: 3742

covering positioned over said stand such that said peripheral wall extends downward (see Figures 1-3 and col. 2, line 39 – col. 3, line 16). It is pointed out that the limitation "being cooked in a microwave" in the preamble is only a statement of intended use and adds little patentability weight to the structure as Drabick can also be used in a microwave oven for heating its food 18 in the box 17. In regard to claim 5, it also meets the claimed limitation that "the plurality of legs <u>includes</u> three legs" as its number of legs is four.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drabick (US 5,509,601), in view of Wall (US 5,077,050).

Drabick shows a food cover assembly except for the shape of the legs and the panel of the stand 10. Wall shows that it is well known to form an article for supporting the lid of a

Art Unit: 3742

cardboard box including a lid support 8 and three legs 12 with pointed bottom end (see Figure 1 and col. 2, line 63 – col. 3, line 12). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify Drabick to use a spacer having a circular top with three pointed legs for better support of the box cover, in view of the teaching of Wall.

7. Claims 1-5 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanlon (US 4,801,773), in view of Wall (US 5,077,050).

Hanlon shows a food cover assembly for positioning over food being cooked in a microwave oven comprising a paper covering 10 having a frusto-conical shape and including a top wall 12 and a pleated peripheral wall 14 being attached to and extending downwardly (see Figure 1-3 and col. 4, lines 12-61). It does not show the use of a stand for supporting the covering. Wall shows that it is well known to form an article for supporting a lid for covering food including a lid support 8 and three legs 12 with pointed bottom end (see Figure 1 and col. 2, line 63 – col. 3, line 12). It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hanlon to use a stand inside the cover and over the food to better support the cover from sagging down on to the food item, in view of the teaching of Wall.

- 8. Claim 17 is allowed.
- 9. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3742

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vitale (US 4,498,586) and Chiba (US 5,028,754) are further cited to show covering devices with similar claimed features.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Pothier can be reached on (703) 308-0265. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

P. Leung/pl 4-02-2004